

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | F            | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/963,471                              | 1 09/27/2001 |            | Hiroaki Tomofuji     | 1081.1130               | 9539             |
| 21171                                   | 7590         | 09/29/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP                      |              |            |                      | PASCAL, LESLIE C        |                  |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. |              |            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005                    |              |            |                      | 2633                    |                  |
|   |              |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 00/20/2004 | τ.               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  |   | al/                                    |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | —————————————————————————————————————— |  |
|   | 09/963,471   | TOMOFUJI ET A   | TOMOFUJI ET AL.                        |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |
|   | Leslie Pascal  | 2633  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sh   | eet with the correspondence a   | ddress                                 |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATE OF THIS COMN<br>36(a). In no event, however,<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (<br>cause the application to bec | MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Section 2a) ■ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2 or 2a or</li></ul> | action is non-final.   | •   | ne merits is                           |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,14,17 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,14,17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideratio   |   |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the conference of the original access and the conference of the conference of the original access and the conference of the confere                | epted or b) objector<br>drawing(s) be held in a<br>ion is required if the dr   | beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C   |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | s have been receive<br>s have been receive<br>ity documents have<br>ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a))                             | d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa .  | ıl Stage                               |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _  |   |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Pap  | rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT                                  | <sup>-</sup> O-152)                    |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/963,471

Art Unit: 2633

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al (US006545783B1).

Wu et al teach a first branching filter (figure 9, 10) which separates the WDM signal into different groups (even, odd groups) and level adjusting (250, figure 1), second branching filter (201, 203), first multiplexer (202, 204) and second multiplexer/synthesizer (111).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al in view of Bergano (US006459515).

Although Wu et al does not teach specifics about guard bands between channels, Bergano teaches that it is well known in a WDM system that has even and odd channels that it is well known to have guard bands (column 5, lines 50-61). It would have been obvious to use guard bands in the system of Wu et al as taught by Bergano



Application/Control Number: 09/963,471

Art Unit: 2633

in order to avoid the noise caused by four wave mixing. In regard to claim 1, the functional circuit could read on either the add/drop switch array or on the regulator (250 shown in figure 1).

5. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al in view of Bergano as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taga (of record).

Although Wu et al does not teach specifics about dispersion compensation when the signals are separated into groups, he does teach that the signals are processed as a group (element 250, figure 1). Taga teaches that it is well known to separate the WDM signal into groups and compensate each group in both amplitude (as taught by Wu) but also in dispersion compensation. It would have been obvious to compensate each group as taught by Taga in the system of Wu in order to provide compensated signals to the multiplexer.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/963,471 Page 4

Art Unit: 2633

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2633